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Abstract

The topic of investigation was object-based analysis–synthesis coding (OBASC) using the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects' for the encoding of moving images at very low data rates. According to the coding concept, each moving object of an image is described and encoded by three parameter sets defining its motion, shape and surface color. The parameter sets of each object are obtained by image analysis. They are coded using an object-dependent parameter coding. Using the coded parameter sets, an image can be synthesized by model-based image synthesis. In comparison to block-based hybrid coding, OBASC requires the additional transmission of shape parameters. The transmission of shape information avoids the mosquito and block artifacts of a block-based coder. Furthermore, important areas such as facial areas can be reconstructed with a significant image quality improvement. OBASC based on the source models of 'moving flexible 2D objects' and of 'moving rigid 3D objects' gives almost identical image quality for the same data rate. Therefore the use of more advanced source models like flexible 3D objects or 3D face models is expected to further improve image quality.
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1. Introduction

For the coding of moving images with low data rates between 64 kbit/s and 2Mbit/s, a block-based hybrid coder has been standardized by the CCITT [5] where each image of a sequence is subdivided into independently moving blocks of size 16 × 16 picture elements (pels). Each block is coded by 2D motion compensated prediction and transform coding [37]. This corresponds to a source model of '2D square blocks moving translationally in the image plane', which fails at boundaries of naturally moving objects and causes coding artifacts known as blocking and mosquito effects at low data rates.

In order to avoid these coding distortions, the new concept of object-based analysis–synthesis coding (OBASC) aiming at a data rate of 64 kbit/s and below was proposed [32]. A coder based on this concept divides an image sequence into moving...
objects. An object is defined by its uniform motion and described by motion, shape and color parameters, where color parameters denote luminance and chrominance reflectance of the object surface. The coding efficiency of OBASC mainly depends on the selection of an appropriate source model and the availability of an automatic image analysis which estimates the model parameters.

Several approaches to analysis–synthesis coding have been published. Analysis–synthesis coding of moving known objects like faces [2, 9, 45] is referred to as knowledge-based coding. Semantic coding [11, 10, 15, 16, 45] which uses a high-level description of image contents is investigated for human faces and describes the facial mimic by parameters. Knowledge-based coding and semantic coding require a recognition algorithm for the known objects. The main interest of these approaches is focussed on the human face. Whereas some systems require only the adaptation of a facial mask to the mouth and eyes of a person, other approaches assume 37 feature points of a face to be reliably detected [8]. For the human face several recognition algorithms have been presented [41], but so far just one algorithm for the adaptation of a facial mask to the mouth and eyes has been demonstrated together with an automatic image sequence analysis system [27].

OBASC in its basic form does not require any a priori knowledge of the moving objects. A first complete implementation of an object-based analysis–synthesis coder based on the model of ‘flexible 2D objects with 2D motion’ using a displacement vector field to describe object motion was developed by Hötter [21, 22]. This implementation shows better picture quality than conventional hybrid coding [20]. Block and mosquito artifacts are avoided. The next step towards improving the coding efficiency is to apply a more realistic source model. Therefore the concept and implementation of an OBASC based on the source model ‘moving rigid 3D objects’ with 3D motion is introduced in this paper. An explicit 3D description of motion and shape is used to adapt the model objects in the model world to the real objects in the real world [25, 28, 33, 34]. Hence new algorithms for modelling 3D shapes, for 3D motion estimation and for motion parameter coding had to be implemented. The coding efficiencies obtained with the source models of moving flexible 2D and moving rigid 3D objects are compared in terms of data rate required for the same picture quality. The coding schemes will be evaluated using videophone test sequences. As a well-known reference for picture quality, the block-based hybrid coder H.261 [5, 6] is used.

In Section 2, the principle of object-based analysis–synthesis coding is described. Furthermore, the source model of ‘moving rigid 3D objects’ is defined. Image synthesis as required for this source model is briefly presented in Section 3. Section 4 explains image analysis and gives the details of 3D motion estimation and the detection of those image areas which cannot be described by the source model. The goal of image analysis is to extract the parameter sets for an efficient description of the image sequence. Section 5 explains how these parameters are coded. In Section 6, experimental results for real image sequences are given. The final discussion in Section 7 reviews the achievements of OBASC based on the source model of ‘moving rigid 3D objects’ and presents possibilities to improve the efficiency of OBASC.

2. The principle of object-based analysis–synthesis coding based on the source model of moving 3D objects

2.1. Object-based analysis–synthesis coding

OBASC [32] subdivides each image of a sequence into uniformly moving objects and describes each object \( m \) in terms of three sets of parameters \( A^{(m)}, M^{(m)} \) and \( S^{(m)} \), defining its motion, shape and color, respectively. Motion parameters define the position and motion of the object and shape parameters define its shape. Color parameters denote the luminance as well as the chrominance reflectance on the surface of the object. In computer graphics, they are sometimes called texture. Fig. 1 is used to explain the concept and structure of OBASC. Instead of a frame memory used in block-based hybrid coding, OBASC requires a memory for parameters in order to store the coded and transmitted object parameters \( A^{(m)} \),
\(M'_{(m)}\) and \(S'_{(m)}\). The parameter memories in the coder and decoder contain the same information. Evaluating these parameter sets, image synthesis synthesizes a model image \(s'_k\) which is displayed at the decoder. The parameter sets of the memory and the current image \(s_{k+1}\) are used as input for the image analysis. This feedback of the coded parameter sets to the analysis system is necessary in order to avoid the accumulation of coding and analysis errors.

The task of image analysis is to analyze the current image \(s_{k+1}\) to be coded and to estimate the parameter sets \(A'_{k+1}, M'_{k+1}\) and \(S'_{k+1}\) of each object \(m\) by use of the decoded parameters \(A''_{k}(m), M''_{k}(m)\) and \(S''_{k}(m)\) of the preceding image. In the current image, moving and static objects are detected first. For moving objects, new motion and shape parameters are estimated in order to reuse most of the already transmitted color parameters \(S''_{k}(m)\). Objects for which motion and shape parameters can be estimated successfully are referred to as \(MC\)-objects (model compliance). In the final step of image analysis, image areas which cannot be described by \(MC\)-objects using the transmitted color parameters \(S''_{k}(m)\) and the new motion and shape parameters \(A''_{k+1}, M''_{k+1}\), respectively, are detected. These areas of model failures (MF) [34] are defined by 2D shape and color parameters only and are referred to as \(MF\)-objects. In [32] they are labelled special objects. The detection of \(MF\)-objects takes into account that small position and shape errors of the \(MC\)-objects – referred to as geometrical distortions – do not disturb subjective image quality. Thus \(MF\)-objects are reduced to those image regions with significant differences between the motion and shape compensated prediction image and the current image \(s_{k+1}\). They tend to be small in size. This allows one to code color parameters of \(MF\)-objects with high quality, thus avoiding subjectively annoying quantization errors. Since the transmission of color parameters is expensive in terms of data rate, the total area of \(MF\)-objects should not be bigger than 4% of the image area assuming 64 kbit/s, CIF and 10 Hz.

In order to incorporate different properties of the source models, different types of model failures are to be distinguished. The source model ‘moving rigid 3D object’ will generate rigid model failures denoted as \(MF_{3D}\)-objects and the source model ‘moving flexible 2D object’ will generate flexible model failures denoted as \(MF_{2D}\)-objects.

Depending on the object class \(MC/MF\), the parameter sets of each object are coded using predictive coding techniques. Motion and shape parameters are encoded and transmitted for \(MC\)-objects and shape and color parameters for \(MF\)-objects. Since the coding of color parameters is most expensive in terms of bit-rate, parameter
coding and image analysis have to be designed jointly. By minimization of the overall data rate $R = R_A + R_M + R_S$ for coding all parameter sets, a higher coding gain than in block-based hybrid coding techniques can be achieved.

Parameter decoding decodes the two parameter sets transmitted for each object class. In the memory for parameters, the position and shape of MC-objects are updated. Furthermore, in areas of model failure, color parameters of MC-objects are substituted by the color parameters of the transmitted MF-objects.

In object-based analysis–synthesis coding the suitability of source models can be judged by comparing the data rates required for coding the same image sequence with the same image quality. Image quality is influenced mainly by the algorithm for detecting model failures and by the bit-rate available for coding the color parameters of model failures.

2.2. The source model of moving rigid 3D objects

The source model used here assumes a 3D real world which has to be modelled by a 3D model world. While the real image is taken by a real camera looking into the real world, a model image is synthesized using a model camera looking into the model world. A world is described by a scene, its illumination and its camera. A scene consists of objects, their motion and their relative position. The image area representing the projection of an object $m$ is referred to as projection $m$.

The goal of the modelling is to generate a model world $W_m$ with a model image identical to the real image $s_k$ at a time instance $k$. This implies that the model objects may differ from the real objects (Fig. 2). However, a similarity between real objects and model objects generally helps performing proper image analysis.

The real illumination is modelled by constant diffuse illumination and the real camera by a static pinhole camera whose target is the model image. This camera projects the point $P^{(i)} = (P_{x}^{(i)}, P_{y}^{(i)}, P_{z}^{(i)})^T$ of the scene onto the point $p^{(i)} = (p_{x}^{(i)}, p_{y}^{(i)})^T$ of the image plane according to

$$p_{x}^{(i)} = F \frac{P_{x}^{(i)}}{P_{z}^{(i)}}, \quad p_{y}^{(i)} = F \frac{P_{y}^{(i)}}{P_{z}^{(i)}},$$

(2.1)

where $F$ is the focal length of the camera, $(X, Y)$ are image coordinates and $(x, y, z)$ are model world coordinates (Fig. 3).

![Fig. 2. A real world and a model world where real and model images are identical.](image-url)
In the model used here, the shape parameters $M^{(m)}$ of an object $m$ represent a 2D binary mask which defines the silhouette of the model object in the model image. During initialization, the 3D shape of an object is completely described by its 2D silhouette, i.e. there is an algorithm which computes a 3D shape from a 2D silhouette. After initialization, the shape parameters $M^{(m)}$ are used as update parameters to the model-object shape. The 3D shape is represented by a mesh of triangles which is put up by vertices referred to as control points $P^{(i)}_c$. The appearance of the model-object surface is described by the color parameters $S^{(m)}$, which define the luminance and chrominance reflectance. An object may consist of two or more rigid components [4]. Each component has its own set of motion parameters. Since each component is defined by its control points, the components are linked by those triangles of the object having control points belonging to different components. Due to these angles, components are flexibly connected. Fig. 4 shows a scene with the objects BACKGROUND and CLAIRE. The model object CLAIRE consists of the two components HEAD and SHOULDER. One special model object BACKGROUND, which is a non-moving rigid 2D plane, is assigned to each scene. For BACKGROUND, only color parameters have to be coded because motion and shape parameters are fixed. When referring to model objects in this paper, BACKGROUND is not considered.

The model objects of the scene are opaque. Their 3D motion is described by the parameters $A^{(m)} = (T_x^{(m)}, T_y^{(m)}, T_z^{(m)}, R_x^{(m)}, R_y^{(m)}, R_z^{(m)})$ defining translation and rotation. A point $P^{(i)}$ on the surface of object $m$ with $N$ control points $P^{(i)}_c$ is moved to its new position $P^{(i)}$ according to

$$P^{(i)} = [R^{(m)}_c] \cdot (P^{(i)} - C^{(m)}) + C^{(m)} + T^{(m)}, \quad (2.2)$$
with the translation vector \( \mathbf{T}^{(m)} = (T_x^{(m)}, T_y^{(m)}, T_z^{(m)})^T \), the object center \( \mathbf{C} = (C_x, C_y, C_z) = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{P}_i^{(0)} \), the rotation angles \( \mathbf{R}_C = (R_x^{(C)}, R_y^{(C)}, R_z^{(C)})^T \) and the rotation matrix \( [\mathbf{R}_C] \) defining the rotation in the mathematically positive direction around the \( x, y, \) and \( z \)-axis with the rotation center \( \mathbf{C} \):

\[
[\mathbf{R}_C] = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos R_y \cos R_z & \sin R_y \sin R_z & \cos R_x \sin R_y - \cos R_x \sin R_y & \cos R_x \cos R_y \\
\cos R_y \sin R_z & \sin R_y \sin R_z & \cos R_x \sin R_y + \cos R_x \sin R_y & \cos R_x \cos R_y \\
-\sin R_y & \sin R_x \cos R_y & \cos R_x \sin R_x & \cos R_x \cos R_x \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

In contrast to the motion equation \( \mathbf{P}^{(l)} = [\mathbf{R}] \mathbf{P}^{(l)} + \mathbf{T} \), Eq. (2.2) rotates an object around its center \( \mathbf{C} \) and then moves the object by the translation \( \mathbf{T} \). Hence \( \mathbf{R} \) and \( \mathbf{T} \) are independent of the camera position.

2.2.1. Generation of a model object

When the silhouette of an object is known, a 3D object has to be modelled from its silhouette. The algorithm presented in the following generates a natural-looking 3D shape from a silhouette. Fig. 5 illustrates the five steps from the silhouette (Fig. 5(a)) towards a model object (Fig. 5(f)) with the given silhouette.
width to object depth set to 1.5 (Fig. 6). The resulting 3D shape is approximated by contour lines. Contour lines are situated on the 3D shape. The distance along the surface between two contour lines is constant (Figs. 5(c) and 5). This enables the algorithm to compute a homogeneous mesh of triangles. In a subsequent step, contour lines are approximated by polygons (Fig. 5(d)). For approximation of the contour lines by polygons, the same error criterion \( d_{\text{max}}^* \) which will later be used for 2D shape coding is applied. \( d_{\text{max}}^* \) defines the maximum allowable distance between a contour line and its approximating polygon [18]. Experiments on videophone sequences with the spatial resolution according to CIF have shown that \( d_{\text{max}}^* = 1.4 \) pel measured in the image plane is a subjectively sufficient quality [21]. The resulting polygon points are the control points of a mesh of triangles (Fig. 5(e)). The model object defined by this mesh of triangles is then placed in the model world. The original image \( s_1 \) is now projected into the model scene using the geometry of the model camera. This defines the color parameters of the new model object and of the BACKGROUND.

3. Image synthesis

In OBASC, image synthesis is required for displaying the decoded picture at the receiver, for
image analysis and for the memory of object parameters in order to replace color parameters of MC-objects if MF-objects are transmitted.

Image synthesis uses the z-buffer algorithm [38] for selecting visible object parts. The texture of each visible triangle of a model object is mapped into the model image using an affine transformation [36, 45]. Due to the discrete sampling grid of the model image, aliasing occurs at object boundaries. It is suppressed by the Fourier window method [43] using the mathematical description of the edges of the triangles.

4. Image analysis

The goal of image analysis is to gain a compact description of the current real image \( s_{k+1} \) taking the transmitted parameter sets \( A_k^{(m)}, M_k^{(m)}, S_k^{(m)} \) and subjective image quality requirements into account. The image analysis consists of the following parts: image synthesis, change detection, 3D motion estimation, detection of object silhouettes, shape adaptation and model failure detection. Whereas Section 4.1 gives a short overview of image analysis, the following sections describe 3D motion estimation and detection of model failures in more detail.

4.1. Overview of image analysis

Fig. 7 shows the structure of image analysis. Input to image analysis are the current real image \( s_{k+1} \) and the model world \( W_k \) described by its parameters \( A_k^{(m)}, M_k^{(m)}, S_k^{(m)} \) for each object \( m \). First, a model image \( s_k \) of the current model world is computed by means of image synthesis.

In order to compute the change detection mask \( B_{k+1} \), the change detection evaluates the images \( s_k \) and \( s_{k+1} \) on the hypothesis that moving real objects generate significant temporal changes in the images [44, 17], that they have occluding contours [33] and that they are opaque [33]. This mask \( B_{k+1} \) marks the projections of moving objects and the background uncovered due to object motion as changed. However, areas of moving shadows or illumination changes are not marked as changed because illumination changes can be modelled by semitransparent objects. This is in contradiction to the assumption that moving real objects are opaque. Since change detection accounts for the silhouettes of the model objects, the changed areas in mask \( B_{k+1} \) will be at least as large as these silhouettes. Fig. 8 gives the change detection mask \( B_{k+1} \) for the second and 18th frame of the test sequence Claire [7]. Due to little motion of Claire at the beginning of the sequence, only parts of the projection of the real object are detected during the first frames. However, this does not disturb the quality of the decoded pictures.

In order to compensate for real object motion and in order to separate the moving objects from the uncovered background, 3D motion parameters are estimated for the model objects [1, 26, 27, 29]. The applied motion estimation algorithm requires motion, shape and color parameters of the model objects and the current real image \( s_{k+1} \) as input. Motion parameters \( A_{k+1} \) are estimated in such a way that the mean square error between the projections of the model objects and the projections of the real objects in the real image \( s_{k+1} \) is minimized.
Fig. 7. Block diagram of image analysis: $A_k$, $M_k$, $S_k$ stored motion, shape and color parameters; $s_{k+1}$ real image to be analyzed; $s^*$ model images; $B_{k+1}$ change detection mask; $C_{k+1}$ object silhouettes; $M_{k+1}$ shape parameters for MC- and MF-objects; $S_{k+1}$ color parameters for MC- and MF-objects. Arrows indicate the information used in various parts of image analysis. Semicircles indicate the output of processing steps.

The resulting motion parameters are used to detect the uncovered background, which is included in mask $B_{k+1}$. The basic idea for the detection of uncovered background is that the projection of the moving object before and after motion has to lie completely in the changed area [17]. Subtracting the uncovered background from mask $B_{k+1}$ gives the new silhouette $C_{k+1}$ of all model objects (Fig. 8).
The silhouette of each model object $m$ is then compared and adapted to the real silhouette $C_{k+1}^{(m)}$ [33]. Differences occur either when parts of the real object start moving for the first time or when differences between the shape of the real and the model object become visible during rotation. In order to compensate for the differences between the silhouettes of the model objects and $C_{k+1}$, the control points close to the silhouette boundary are shifted perpendicular to the model-object surface so that the model object gets the required silhouette. This gives the new shape parameters $M_{k+1}^{MC}$, where MC denotes model compliance.

For the detection of model failures, a model image $s^*$ is synthesized using the previous color parameters $S_k$ and the current motion and shape parameters $A_{k+1}$ and $M_{k+1}^{MC}$, respectively. The differences between the images $s^*$ and $s_{k+1}$ are evaluated for determining the areas of model failure. The areas of model failure cannot be compensated using the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects'. Therefore, they are named rigid model failures $MF_{3D}$ and are represented by $MF_{3D}$-objects. These MF-objects are described by 2D shape parameters $M_{k+1}^{MF}$ and color parameters $S_{k+1}^{MF}$ only.

4.2. 3D motion estimation

It is assumed that differences between two consecutive images $s_k$ and $s_{k+1}$ are due to object motion. In order to estimate these motion parameters, a gradient method is applied here. A first version of this algorithm has been successfully applied to test sequences like Claire [7], Michael [12] and Miss America [3] with a manual initialization of the model world in 1987 [25] and 1988 [26].

From each model object, motion estimation uses one set of observation points. Each observation point $O^{(b)} = (P^{(b)}, I^{(b)})$ is located on the model-object surface at position $P^{(b)}$ and holds its luminance value $I^{(b)}$ and its linear gradients $g^{(b)} = (g_x^{(b)}, g_y^{(b)})^T$. $g$ are the horizontal and vertical luminance gradients from the image which provided the color parameters for the object. The measure for selecting observation points is a high spatial gradient. This adds robustness against noise to the estimation algorithm. Fig. 9 shows the location of all observation points belonging to model object CLAIRE.

Motion estimation minimizes the mean square difference between the model image and the real image. It is assumed that objects are rigid and have diffuse reflecting surfaces. Furthermore, diffuse
illumination of the scene is assumed. Hence, color parameters are constant. With an observation point \( O_k^{(i)} = (P_k^{(i)}, g^{(i)}, l^{(i)}) \) at time instant \( k \) projected onto the image plane at \( p_k^{(i)} \) and the same observation point after motion \( O_{k+1}^{(i)} = (P_{k+1}^{(i)}, g^{(i)}, l^{(i)}) \) projected onto \( p_{k+1}^{(i)} \), the luminance difference between image \( s_k \) and image \( s_{k+1} \) at position \( p_k^{(i)} \) is then related to motion by

\[
\Delta I^{(i)} = s_{k+1}(p_k^{(i)}) - s_k(p_k^{(i)}) = (g_x^{(i)}, g_y^{(i)})^T \cdot (p_{k+1}^{(i)} - p_k^{(i)}). \tag{4.1}
\]

Substituting image coordinates by model world coordinates with Eq. (2.1) yields

\[
\Delta I^{(i)} = F \cdot g^{(i)} \begin{bmatrix} P_{x,k+1}^{(i)} - P_{x,k}^{(i)} \\ P_{z,k+1}^{(i)} - P_{z,k}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} + F \cdot g^{(i)} \frac{P_{x,k+1}^{(i)} - P_{y,k}^{(i)}}{P_{z,k+1}^{(i)} - P_{z,k}^{(i)}} - F \cdot g^{(i)} \frac{P_{x,k}^{(i)} - P_{y,k}^{(i)}}{P_{z,k}^{(i)} - P_{z,k}^{(i)}}. \tag{4.2}
\]

The position \( P_k^{(i)} \) of the observation point \( O_k^{(i)} \) is known. By relating \( P_k \) to \( P_{k+1} \) by means of the motion equation (2.2), a non-linear equation with the known parameters \( \Delta I \), \( g \) and \( F \) and the six unknown motion parameters results. This equation is linearized by linearizing the rotation matrix \( R_C \) (2.3) assuming small rotation angles

\[
[R_C] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -R_z & R_y \\ R_z & 1 & -R_x \\ -R_y & R_x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.3}
\]

giving

\[
P_{k+1} = [R_C] \cdot (P_{k} - C) + C + T. \tag{4.4}
\]

Substituting Eq. (4.4) in (4.2) the linearized equation for one observation point is

\[
\Delta I = F \cdot g_x / P_z \cdot T_x \\
+ F \cdot g_y / P_z \cdot T_y \\
- [(P_z g_x + P_y g_y) F / P_z^2 + \Delta I / P_z] \cdot T_z \\
- [(P_z g_x (P_z - C_y) + P_y g_y (P_z - C_y) + P_z g_y (P_z - C_z)] F / P_z^2 \\
+ \Delta I / P_z (P_z - C_y) \cdot R_x \\
+ [(P_y g_y (P_z - C_x) + P_z g_x (P_z - C_x) + P_z g_x (P_z - C_x)] F / P_z^2 \\
+ \Delta I / P_z (P_z - C_x) \cdot R_y \\
- [g_x (P_y - C_y) - g_y (P_x - C_x)] F / P_z \cdot R_z,
\tag{4.5}
\]

with the unknown motion parameters \( T = (T_x, T_y, T_z)^T \) and \( R_C = (R_x, R_y, R_z)^T \) and the observation point \( O_k = (R_k, g, l) \) at position \( P_k = (P_x, P_y, P_z)^T \).

In order to get reliable estimates for the six motion parameters, Eq. (4.5) has to be established for several hundred observation points. The residuum of this equation system is minimized by linear regression:

\[
\sum_{O_k} (\Delta I^{(i)})^2 \rightarrow \text{MIN.} \tag{4.6}
\]

In order to make the estimation more robust, the variance \( \sigma_i \) of all the residuals \( \Delta I \) according to Eq. (4.5) is computed. Equations with \( \Delta I > \sigma_i \) are discarded [17]. Instead of linear regression, other methods can be used [30, 40, 46].

Due to linearization, motion parameters have to be estimated iteratively for each model object. After every iteration, the model object is moved according to Eq. (2.2) using the estimated motion parameters. After this, a new set of motion equations is established, giving new motion parameter updates. Since the motion parameter updates approach zero during the iterations, the introduced linearizations do not harm motion estimation.
4.3. Detection of model failures

As a final step of image analysis, the estimated shape and motion parameters are verified. The goal of this verification test is to detect those regions of the current image \( s_{k+1} \) which cannot be described by the previously transmitted color parameters \( S_k^c \) and the current motion and shape parameters \( A_{k+1} \) and \( M_{k+1}^{MC} \), respectively. Therefore, model objects are motion and shape compensated, giving the synthesized prediction image \( s^* \). The difference image between \( s^* \) and the current image \( s_{k+1} \) is evaluated by binarizing it using an adaptive threshold \( T_e \) so that the error variance of the areas which are not

![Fig. 10. Detection of model failures: (a) scaled difference image between real and model image after motion and shape compensation; (b) synthesis error mask; (c) geometric distortions and perceptually irrelevant regions; (d) mask \( MF_{3D_t} \) with model failures of the source model rigid 3D object.](image-url)
declared as synthesis errors is below a given allowed noise level $N_e$. The resulting mask is named synthesis error mask. Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) shows a scaled difference image and the resulting synthesis error mask, respectively.

The synthesis error mask marks those pels of image $s^*$ which differ significantly from the corresponding pels of $s_{k+1}$. Since the areas of synthesis errors are frequently larger than 4% of the image area, it is not possible to transmit color parameters for these areas with a sufficiently high image quality, i.e. visible quantization errors would occur. However, from a subjective point of view it is not necessary to transmit color parameters for all areas of synthesis errors. Due to the object-based image description, the prediction image $s^*$ is subjectively pleasant. There are no block artifacts and object boundaries are synthesized properly.

There are two major reasons for synthesis errors. First of all, synthesis errors are due to position and shape differences between a moving real object and its corresponding model object. These errors are caused by motion and shape estimation errors. They displace contours in the image signal and will produce line structures in the synthesis error mask. Due to the feedback of the estimated and coded motion and shape parameters into image analysis (Fig. 1), these estimation errors tend to be small, unbiased and they do not accumulate. Therefore it is reasonable to assume that these errors do not disturb subjective image quality. They are classified as geometrical distortions. As a simple detector of geometrical distortions, a median filter of size $5 \times 5$ pel is applied to the mask of synthesis errors (Fig. 10(c)).

Secondly, events in the real world which cannot be modelled by the source model will contribute to synthesis errors. Using the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects', it is not possible to model changing human facial expressions or specular highlights. Especially facial expressions are subjectively important. In order to be of subjective importance, it is assumed that an erroneous image region has to be larger than 0.05% of the image area (Fig. 10(c)). Model failures are those image areas where the model image $s^*$ is subjectively wrong (Fig. 10(d)). Each area of model failure is modelled by an MF$_{3D}$ object defined by color and 2D shape parameters.

5. Parameter coding

The task of parameter coding is the efficient coding of the parameter sets defining motion, shape and color provided by image analysis (Fig. 11). Parameter coding uses a coder-mode control to select the appropriate parameter sets to be transmitted for each object class. The priority of the parameter sets is arranged by a priority control.

5.1. Motion parameter coding

The unit of the estimated object translation \( T = (T_x, T_y, T_z)^T \) is pel. The unit of the estimated object rotation \( R_c = (R_{x}^{(C)}, R_{y}^{(C)}, R_{z}^{(C)})^T \) is degree. These motion parameters are PCM coded by quantizing each component with 8 bits within an interval of $\pm 10$ pels and degrees, respectively. This ensures a subjectively lossless coding of motion parameters.

5.2. 2D shape parameter coding

Since the model-object shape is computed from its silhouette, shape parameters are essentially 2D. The principles for coding shape parameters of MF- and MC-objects are identical. Shape parameters are coded using a polygon/spline approximation developed by Hötter [18]. A measure $d_{max}$ describes the maximum distance between original and approximated shape. First, an initial polygon approximation of the shape is generated using four points

![Fig. 11. Block diagram of parameter coding.](image-url)
(Fig. 12(a)). Where the quality measure \( d_{\text{max}}^* \) is not satisfied, the approximation is iteratively refined through insertion of additional polygon points until the measure fulfills \( d_{\text{max}} \leq d_{\text{max}}^* \) (Fig. 12(b)). In a final step for each line of the polygon, it is checked whether an approximation of the corresponding contour piece by a spline approximation also satisfies \( d_{\text{max}}^* \). If so, the spline approximation is used giving a natural shape approximation for curved shapes (Fig. 13). In order to avoid visible distortions at object boundaries, MC-objects are coded with \( d_{\text{max}}^* = 1.4 \) pels. Experimental results showed that MF-objects should be coded with \( d_{\text{max}}^* \) about 2.1 pels [34]. The coordinates of the polygon points are coded relative to their perspective predecessor. Then the curve type line/spline is coded for each line of the polygon.

The data rate for coding shape parameters of MC-objects is cut to half by using the motion compensated coded silhouette of the last image as a prediction of the current silhouette. Starting with this approximation, only shape update parameters have to be transmitted.

5.3. Color parameters

Conventional DCT is not suitable for the coding of color parameters of arbitrarily shaped regions. New algorithms have been developed for this application [14, 42]. Here the special type of DCT for arbitrarily shaped regions developed by Gilge [14] is improved by applying a segmentation of the color parameters into homogeneous regions prior to transform coding [35]. The segmentation is based on the minimum spanning tree [31] using the signal variance as criterion. The boundaries of the regions are coded using a chain code [13]. The DCT coefficients are quantized with a linear quantizer of signal-dependent step size. The advantage of this scheme using segmentation prior to transform coding is that errors due to coarse quantization are mainly concentrated at the boundaries of the segmented regions, where they are less visible due to masking of the human visual system in areas of high local activity.
5.4. Control of parameter coding

Due to limited data rate, a transmission of all parameter sets cannot be guaranteed. Coder control is used to overcome this difficulty. It consists of coder-mode control and priority control (Fig. 11). Coder-mode control selects the relevant parameter sets and coder adjustments for each object, and priority control arranges these parameter sets for transmission.

Depending on model-object class MF or MC, coder-mode control selects two parameter sets for transmission. For MC-objects, only motion \(A_{1}^{(m)}\) and shape update parameters \(M_{1}^{(m)}\) are coded. Coding of color parameters is not necessary because the existing color parameters \(S_{1}^{(m)}\) of the model objects are sufficient to synthesize the image properly. Two-dimensional shape parameters, defining the location of the model failures in the image plane, and color parameters are coded for MF-objects.

Priority control guarantees that motion parameters of all MC-objects are transmitted first. In a second step, shape parameters of MC-objects are transmitted. Finally, the shape and color parameters of MF-objects are transmitted until the available data rate is exhausted.

6. Experimental results

In the sequel, the object-based analysis–synthesis coder based on the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects' is applied to the test sequences Claire [7] and Miss America [3] with a spatial resolution corresponding to CIF and a frame rate of 10 Hz. The results are compared to those of an H.261 coder [5, 6] and OBASC based on the source model of 'moving flexible 2D objects' presented by Hötter [20–22]. The source model of 'moving flexible 2D objects' uses a displacement vector field for a joint description of object motion and local flexible deformation. As far as detection of model failures and coding of shape parameters are concerned, the same algorithms and coder adjustments are applied. Parameter coding is aiming at a data rate of approximately 64 kbit/s. However, the bit-rate of the coder is not controlled and no buffer is implemented. In the experiment, the allowed noise level \(N_e\) for detection of model failures was set to 6/255. Color parameters of model failures are coded according to Section 5.3 with PSNR = 36 dB. In all experiments the coders are initialized with the first original image of the sequence, i.e. for the block-based coder H.261 the frame memory is initialized with the first original image. For the two object-based analysis–synthesis coders, the model object BACKGROUND in the memory for parameters is initialized with the first original image.

For head and shoulder scenes the 3D model object is usually divided into two to three components. Applying the estimated motion parameter sets to the model object gives a natural impression of object motion. This indicates that the estimated motion parameters are close to the real motion parameters and that the distance transform applied to the object silhouette for generating the 3D model-object shape is suitable for the analysis of head and shoulder scenes.

The area of rigid model failures \(MF_{3D}\) is on average below 4% of the image area (Fig. 14). Generalizing this, for head and shoulder scenes the rigid model failures can perhaps be expected to be below 15% of the moving area. The exact figures of model failure area as related to moving area are 12% for Claire and 7% for Miss America. The test sequence Claire seems to be more demanding, due to the fast rotation of her head, whereas Miss America's motion is almost 2D.

Table 1 compares the average bit-rate for the different parameter sets defining motion, shape and color and the two source models of 'moving rigid 3D objects' and 'moving flexible 2D objects'. Both coders need approximately the same data rate. Due to the displacement vector field, OBASC based on the source model of 'moving flexible 2D objects' requires a relatively high amount of motion information. Shape parameters include the shape of MC- and MF-objects. Shape parameters of MC-objects require similar data rates for both source models. However, the source model of 'moving flexible 2D objects' causes only a few large MF-objects whereas the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects' causes smaller but more MF-objects. This larger number of \(MF_{3D}\)-objects is due to the applied source model assuming rigid shapes. Shape
Fig. 14. Area of rigid model failures $MF_{3D}$ in pels for the test sequence Claire. The total area is 101 376 pels. The average area of model failures is 3.5% of the image area.

Table 1
Average bit-rate of parameter sets for the source models of 3D rigid objects and 2D flexible objects (all figures in bit/frame). The coders use the same algorithm for detection of model failures. The bit-rate for coding of color parameters is 1.2 bit/pel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Motion</th>
<th>Flexible shape</th>
<th>MC shape</th>
<th>MF shape</th>
<th>$\Sigma$ shape</th>
<th>MF-color</th>
<th>$\Sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rigid 3D object</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible 2D object</td>
<td>1/00</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>6400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

differences between real and model objects as well as small flexible motion on the surface of real objects cannot be compensated for. These effects cause small local position errors of the model objects. If texture with high local activity is displaced for more than 0.5 pels, model failures are detected. Since these small position errors can be compensated for when using the source model of 'moving flexible 2D objects', the overall data rate for shape parameters of MF-objects is 250 bits higher for the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects'.

Fig. 15 shows the 33rd decoded frame of the test sequence Claire using the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects' and of 'moving flexible 2D objects'. Subjectively, there is no difference between the two decoded image sequences. When compared to decoded images of an H.261 coder [6], picture quality is improved twofold (Fig. 16). At boundaries of moving objects, no block or mosquito artefacts are visible, due to the introduction of shape parameters. Image quality in the face is improved, because coding of color parameters is limited to model failures which are mainly located in the face. Since the average area of model failures, i.e. the area where color parameters have to be coded, covers 4% of the image area, color parameters can be coded at a data rate higher than 1.0 bit/pel. This compares to 0.1–0.4 bit/pel available for coding of color parameters with an H.261 (RM8) coder.

It can be expected from the experience with the source models of 'moving rigid 2D objects' and of 'moving flexible 2D objects' [19] that the introduction of the source model of 'moving flexible 3D objects' will reduce the area of model failures significantly. Although applying the source model of 'moving flexible 3D objects' will require the additional coding of flexible shape parameters, this will be overcompensated by the reduction of the bit-rate for coding model failures.
defined by its uniform 3D motion and described by motion, shape and color parameters. Moving objects are modelled by 3D model objects.

The goal of image analysis is to arrive at a compact parametric description of the current image of a sequence, taking already transmitted parameter sets into account. Image analysis includes shape and motion estimation as well as detection of model failures. Moving objects are segmented using temporal change detection and motion parameters. The algorithm for estimating these motion parameters is based on previous work on gradient-based motion estimation. A new set of equations relating the difference signal between two images to 3D motion parameters has been established. The 3D shape of a model object is computed by applying a distance transformation, giving object depth, to the object silhouette. Since the estimated motion parameters applied to these 3D model objects give a natural impression of motion, this distance transform is very suitable for analysis of head and shoulder scenes.

Those areas of an image which cannot be modelled by the applied source model are referred to as model failures and modelled by MF-objects. They are described by color and 2D shape parameters only. Model failures are detected taking subjective criteria into account. It is assumed that geometrical distortions like small position and shape

Fig. 15. 33rd decoded frame of test sequence Claire at a data rate of 64 kbit/s using the source model of (a) 'moving rigid 3D objects' and of (b) 'moving flexible 2D objects'.

7. Conclusions

In this paper the concept and implementation of an object-based analysis–synthesis coder based on the source model of 'moving rigid 3D objects' aiming at a data rate of 64 kbit/s has been presented. The coder consists of five parts: image analysis, parameter coding and decoding, image synthesis and memory for parameters. Each object is
errors of the moving objects do not disturb subjective image quality. Due to these subjective criteria, the average area of model failures is below 4% of the image area for typical videophone test sequences.

With respect to coding, shape and color parameters are coded for MF-objects, while motion and shape update parameters have to be coded for MC-objects (model compliance). Motion parameters are PCM coded and shape parameters are coded using a polygon/spline approximation. Prior to coding, color parameters are segmented into homogeneous regions. Then a DCT for arbitrarily shaped regions is applied.

The presented coder has been compared to OBASC based on the model of ‘moving flexible 2D objects’. With regard to typical videophone test sequences it is shown that the picture quality at the average bit-rate of 64 kbit/s is the same regardless of whether the source model of ‘moving rigid 3D objects’ or that of ‘moving flexible 2D objects’ is applied. When compared to images coded according to H.261, there are no mosquito and no block artefacts because the average area for which color parameters are transmitted is 10% of the image area for H.261 and 4% for OBASC. Therefore OBASC allows coding of color parameters for MF-objects with a data rate higher than 1.0 bit/pel. At the same time, MC-objects are displayed without subjectively annoying artifacts.

The experience with the source models of ‘moving rigid 2D objects’ and ‘moving flexible 2D objects’ leads to the expectation that the introduction of the source model of ‘moving flexible 3D objects’ will significantly reduce the required data rate. In the future, the source model will be extended to incorporate a priori knowledge such as face and mimic models for coding of head and shoulder scenes.
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