Robust Long-Term Aerial Video Mosaicking by Weighted Feature-based Global Motion Estimation Holger Meuel · Stephan Ferenz · Florian Kluger · Jörn Ostermann

Goal and Problem Definition

- **Goal:** Highly accurate *long-term* mosaicking from aerial sequences
- Global motion estimation (GME) for frame registration using corner features, KLT feature tracker, RANSAC
- Accurate GME may be degraded by:
 - Nearly no features on unstructured areas (lawn)
 - Feature points at 3D structures not covered by the model (white ellipse)
 - Feature points at (slow) moving objects (red circle)

Problem: Drift induced by frame-to-frame-based estimation



Proposed Improvements

I. Weighted Feature-based Global Motion Estimation

Features often located on structured areas \Rightarrow enforce uniform distribution Idea: Weight feature correspondence influence based on local feature density

- 1. Extend least-square minimization in homography estimation for J inliers: min $\sum_{j \in J} \left((\tilde{x}_{j,k-1} x_{j,k-1})^2 + (\tilde{y}_{j,k-1} y_{j,k-1})^2 \right) \cdot (W_{j,k})^2$ with $(\tilde{x}_{j,k-1}, \tilde{y}_{j,k-1})$: estimated coordinates, $W_{j,k}$: weighting function depending on $x_{j,k}$ and $y_{j,k}$
- 2. Modeling $W_{i,k}$ using instance reweighting to approximate uniform distribution $p_e(x, y)$ of feature correspondences: $p_{\text{feat},k}(x,y) = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{i=1}^{J} K(x - x_{i,k}, y - y_{i,k})$
- 3. Approximate kernel K by a Gaussian probability density function (pdf) to model the neighborhood of each feature: $p_g(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma_y^2} + \frac{y^2}{\sigma_y^2}\right)\right]$
- 4. Set σ_x and σ_y to mean value of pairwise distances of all feature correspondences and add scaling factor κ [1]
- $W_{j,k}(x_{j,k}, y_{j,k}) = \frac{p_e(x_{j,k}, y_{j,k})}{p_{\text{feat},k}(x_{j,k}, y_{j,k})} = J \cdot \frac{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y}{\sum_{i=1}^J \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(x_{j,k} x_{i,k})^2}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{(y_{j,k} y_{i,k})^2}{\sigma_y^2}\right)\right]}$ 5. Calculate final weighting function $W_{i,k}$:
 - i. e. weight for each feature is the reciprocal of the real feature distribution

II. Increase of the Number of Features with Highest Possible Quality ("More features")

Idea: Feature coverage over entire frame \Rightarrow always select the *n*-best features with *n* being a predefined number of features

III. Variable Tracking Distance

- \blacktriangleright Tracking over long temporal distances \Rightarrow local motion tends to be larger



 S_{k-1}

S_k

- \Rightarrow RANSAC can better detect & remove features on moving objects
- \Rightarrow Reduced outliers \Rightarrow improved tracking accuracy

Experimental Results

Camera Captured Videos

- Aerial video captured from multicopter, 3000 frames, non-stabelized
- Hover on the spot, only small translational movement, but wobbling
- Accumulated GME error leads to inaccurate panorama image (left)
 - Severe stitching errors, broken lines, global deformation
- Improved GME leads to accurate panorama over 3000 frames (right)
 - Accurate stitching, consistent lines, no deformation
 - Accurate mosaicking of frames despite severe model violations



Left: Stitching without proposed techniques, including errors induced by inaccurate GME

Right: Final panorama using all proposed improvements for global motion estimation with uniform distribution and weight of $\kappa = 0.575$.

Results for Camera Captured Videos

 S_{k-d}



Conclusions

- Robust, highly accurate, long-term mosaicking over several thousand frames for aerial video with only little translational movement
- Combined approaches reduce stitching errors
- Highly improved subjective quality of panoramic images
- Structural dissimilarity (DSSIM) reduced from 0.12 to 0.035

[1] S.J. Reddi, A. Ramdas, B. Póczos, A. Singh, L. Wasserman: On the Decreasing Power of Kernel and Distance Based Nonparametric Hypothesis Tests in High Dimensions, **References:** Proc. of the AAAI Conf. on Art. Intel. pp. 3571-3577 (2015)



